

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 . www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/805,083 | 03/19/2004 | Per-Ake Larson | 16-570 | 7709 |
| 7590 10/02/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| WATTS HOFFMANN CO., L.P.A. | | | KINDRED, ALFORD W | |
| Ste. 1750 1100 Superior Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Cleveland, OH 44114 | | | 2163 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/02/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/805,083 | LARSON ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Alford W. Kindred | 2163 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fre, cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M | March 2004 | · | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | , ' - - | | | | | |
| ت(۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | · | _ parto quayro, rocc c.b. v., | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the property documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ation No ived in this National Stage | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/14/05</u> . 6) | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/805,083 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

Detailed Action

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application, filed on 03/19/04.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 2-12, 14-19, 22-31 and 33-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach and/or suggest "a constraint parser that interprets and converts the currency constraint into a form usable by the query optimizer . . . constructs a query plan for executing the query . . . subplan selection operators that check a currency of a local replica against a query's currency constraint . . . wherein the query processing engine executes the query plan . . . a result that satisfies the query's currency constraints."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 13, 20-21, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carey et al., US# 20010049685.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,083

Art Unit: 2163

As per claims 1, 13, 20-21, and 32, Carey et al. teaches "a constraint parser that interprets and converts the currency constraint into a form usable by the query optimizer; a query plan generator that constructs a query plan for executing the query, wherein the plan includes one or more subplan selection operators that check a currency of a local replica against the query's currency constraint" (see abstract and paragraph [0096] and [0097]) "selects the subplan using the local replica only when the constraint is met; and wherein the query processing engine executes the query plan, including the subplan selection operators, thereby producing a result that satisfies the query's currency constraints" (see paragraph [0177] and [0190]).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-91/99 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100